

A CONUNDRUM?

- <u>SCHWABE (1843)</u>: SUNSPOTS VARY ON AN 11-YEAR PERIOD
- <u>HALE (1908)</u>: SUNSPOTS ARE MAGNETIC
- A DYNAMO DEEP INSIDE THE SUN MODULATED BY CYCLIC PROCESSES
- PREDICTION OF TIMING AND
 AMPLITUDE OF UPCOMING CYCLE



THREE POSSIBILITIES ...

- CONCEPT IS COMPLETELY
 WRONG
- THE BASIC CONCEPT IS CORRECT, BUT THERE IS SOMETHING MISSING



• THE SOLAR CYCLE CAN NEVER BE PREDICTED



TALK OUTLINE

THE SUN AS A MAGNETIC VARIABLE STAR

SOLAR CYCLE MODELS & THEIR ABILITY TO FORECAST

A DIFFERENT APPROACH NEEDED?

REQUIREMENTS FOR PROGRESS

THE SUN AS A MAGNETIC VARIABLE STAR

PART 1:



400 YEARS OF SUNSPOT DATA



X DATA INTERMITTENT AND LOWER QUALITY

..... DATA MORE COMPLETE AND RELIABLE

11-YEAR MODULATION OF SUNSPOTS NUMBERS



... BUT THE PERIOD IS NOT EXACTLY 11 YEARS RANGING FROM 8 TO 14 YEARS

THE AMPLITUDE OF THE CYCLES VARIES





A LONG PERIOD WITHOUT MANY SPOTS APPEARING



A HARD TEST FOR ANY SOLAR CYCLE MODEL HOW TO START A EXTENDED MINIMUM HOW TO GET OUT OF IT

THE MAGNETIC CYCLE IS 22 YEARS



- 1. NORTHERN & SOUTHERN REGIONS HAVE OPPOSITE POLARITY
- 2. MAGNETIC POLARITY REVERSES FROM SOLAR CYCLE TO CYCLE
- 3. POLAR MAGNETIC FIELD REVERSAL IN MIDDLE OF SOLAR CYCLE

(i=0 P=MC K (CosaI+bI) H COSaI C= a2+62 $sink = \frac{a}{c} \dot{\chi}^{a} + \int_{bc}^{a} \dot{\chi}^{b} \dot{\chi}^{c} = 0$ $cosk = \frac{b}{c}$ **EMPIRICAL VS PHYSICS-BASED** MODELS The = X Tabiet (x) f = 2b(2) f

PART 2:

SOLAR CYCLE MODELS & THEIR ABILITY TO FORECAST

AN EMPIRICAL MODEL



SHAPE FITTING ...



... BUT GIVES LOTS OF FALSE RESULTS



PHYSICS-BASED MODELS

ROTATION IS A CLUE TO THE NATURE OF THE SOLAR DYNAMO



WINDING UP



INSTABILITY SETS IN

- WINDING UP CREATES
 STRONGER MAGNETIC FIELDS
- MAGNETIC PRESSURE EXCEEDS GAS PRESSURE
- MAGNETIC FLUX ROPES EXPAND AND BECOME BUOYANT
- RISE TO THE SURFACE TO APPEAR AS SUNSPOTS



AND THEN IT GETS COMPLICATED

STARTING POINT

CANCELLATION

TRANSPORT

EROSION



AND THEN IT GETS COMPLICATED

ZERO POLES

REVERSAL

MINIMUM

NEW CYCLE



BUT ALL WAS NOT WELL ...

- THE EARLY MODELS REQUIRED SHEAR THROUGHOUT THE CONVECTIVE ZONE
 - HELIOSEISMOLOGY SHOWS SHEAR AT THE BASE OF THE CONVECTION ZONE AND NEAR THE SURFACE
- PREDICTED CYCLES TOO SHORT (ONLY 2 YEARS!)
- MOST MODELS ASSUME N-S MAGNETIC SYMMETRY
- A DEEP MERIDIONAL FLOW?



THE DIKPATI MODEL



HOW WELL DID WE DO?



Data Tabulated by D. Pesnell (GSFC)

THE EXPERTS ARE FALLING IN LINE?



SILSO graphics (http://sidc.be) Royal Observatory of Belgium 16/07/2013

PART 3: A DIFFERENT APPROACH



OUR NEW APPROACH

- DITCH SUNSPOT NUMBER
 - AT SOLAR MINIMUM B FIELD
 PRESENT BUT FEW OR NO SPOTS
- USE NEW DATA SOURCES
 - DIRECT MEASUREMENTS OF SOLAR MAGNETIC FIELDS
 - CORONAL X-RAY EMISSIONS
- FIND WHAT THE SUN WILL ALLOW US TO FORECAST (IF ANYTHING)





TIMING IS KEY

- SOLAR CYCLES MEASURED WRT SOLAR MAX OR SOLAR MINIMUM DATES
 - 13-MONTH SMOOTHED SUNSPOT NUMBER
 - UNCERTAIN
 - DON'T KNOW UNTIL A YEAR HAS PASSED
- DEFINED A NEW TIMING REFERENCE: THE "ONSET"



The Onset is a Global Burst of Activity



<u>AFTER</u>

BEFORE Carrington Rotation 1924



The B Ratio: A PERFECT FIDUCIAL



Tune x, y, and z boundaries and B threshold to show different aspects of a solar cycle

B-RATIO = (x + z) / y

THE B RATIO CAN BE USED TO PREDICT THE ONSET



THE ONSET MARKS THE DEATH OF THE OLD CYCLE AND THE BLOSSOMING OF THE NEW



THE BEGINNING OF CYCLE 24 A SERIES OF BURSTS



CARRINGTON ROTATION NUMBER

THE SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE (10S – 60S; B > 50G)



ONSET OF SC24 SOUTH; B>50; dt = 8.3 (.4) solar rotations



CARRINGTON ROTATION NUMBER

AVERAGE LENGTH OF BURST THROUGH ONSET PHASE OF CYCLES 22-24



SOLAR CYCLE NUMBER

SOMETHING HAS CHANGED

- LOWEST SOLAR CYCLE IN 100 YEARS (SO FAR)
- SOLAR CYCLES GETTING LONGER?
 - SC21: 10.3 YEARS
 - SC22: 10.6 YEARS
 - SC23: 12.6 YEARS
 - SC24: ???? YEARS
- PROBLEM: HOW CAN THIS HAPPEN?

HINTS

NORTHERN & SOUTHERN
 HEMISPHERES INTERACTING

- CYCLE TO CYCLE INTERACTIONS
- MULTIPLE CYCLES PRESENT SIMULTANEOUSLY







A NEW MODEL

RESONANCE OSCILLATORS





OR A COMPOUND OSCILLATOR?



WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?

PART 4:

THE BASIC PROBLEM

- WE SEE LESS THAN 35% OF THE SOLAR SURFACE MAGNETIC FIELD FROM EARTH
- NEVER GET A CLEAR VIEW OF THE POLAR REGIONS

NEED TO BUILD ON THE SUCCESS OF STEREO

THE 4π CONCEPT

- MULTIPLE SPACECRAFT ORBITING THE SUN IN THE ECLIPTIC PLANE AND OVER THE POLES
- INSTRUMENTS
 - MAGNETOGRAPH/HELIOSEISMOMETER
 - CORONAL IMAGER
 - CORONAGRAPH
 - PARTICLES AND FIELDS MONITORS



4π SCIENCE

- CONTINUOUS OBSERVATIONS OF THE SOLAR GLOBAL MAGNETIC FIELD AND SOLAR WIND
- MEASUREMENTS OF GLOBAL OSCILLATIONS TO PROBE DEEPER AND MORE BROADLY THE INTERNAL DYNAMIC OF THE SUN
- FOLLOW THE EVOLUTION IN 3D OF ALL SUNSPOT REGIONS, FLARES AND CMES
- DETERMINE THE ROLE OF LARGESCALE CORONAL FIELDS IN THE EVOLUTION OF
 THE SOLAR CYCLE



CONCLUSIONS

- CURRENT SOLAR CYCLE MODELS ARE INADEQUATE FOR PREDICTION OF THE SOLAR CYCLE
- SC24 WILL LIKELY BE THE LOWEST CYCLE IN 100 YEARS
 - LENGTHENING OF THE CYCLE
 - LOW % OF LARGE (M & X) FLARES
 - EXTENDED SOLAR MINIMUM
- SOMETHING HAS SLOWED?
- SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS TO THE MODELS
 - USE THE MAGNETIC FIELD, NOT THE SMOOTHED SUNSPOT NUMBER
 - NEED BETTER TIME FIDUCIAL
 - INCLUDE ASYMMETRY IN N-S HEMISPHERES
 - CYCLE NOT SMOOTH BUT A SERIES OF BURST OF MAGNTIC ACTIVITY
- A WAY FORWARD: THE 4π CONCEPT

THANKS

YOUTUBE: drkstrong

WHY IS FORECASTING THE SOLAR CYCLE IMPORTANT?

- OUR EXISTENCE DEPENDS ON THE SUN'S VARIABILITY BEING BENIGN
- A TEST OF HOW WELL WE UNDERSTAND STARS

- SOCIETAL IMPACTS
- SOLAR SYSTEM EXPLORATION

