




## Steel Chemistry

| Element | 1991 <br> (CANMET) | 1996 <br> (UMO) | 1996 <br> (Beth. St.) | AISI 1018 <br> (ASM) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carbon | $0.20 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $0.21 \%$ | $0.18-0.23 \%$ |
| Sulfur | $0.065 \%$ | $0.069 \%$ | $0.061 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ max |
| Manganese | $0.52 \%$ | $0.47 \%$ | - | $0.60-1.0 \%$ |
| Phosphorus | $0.01 \%$ | $0.045 \%$ | - | $0.04 \% \mathrm{max}$ |
| Nitrogen | $0.004 \%$ | $0.0035 \%$ | - | $0.0025 \%$ |
| Oxygen | - | $0.013 \%$ | - | - |

## Mechanical Properties

Plate recovered in:
Yield Stress
UTS
Percent Elongation
(Design Spec: 10-15 tons/sq. inch yield, 30\% elongation)

## Microstructure of Hull Steel




Birkle, Wei and Pellissier, Trans. ASM 55 (1962) p. 981.


Test temperature

## However,

- the impact with the iceberg was not felt by most passengers
- the lateral motion of the ship was negligible


The impact energy (and strain rate) is a very sensitive function of the impact angle.

Is Charpy appropriate?

## What loading rate corresponds to the iceberg collision?



FRA says Charpy is good for railroad car collisions in a switching yard (15-20 mph).

# Slow Three-Point-Bending Results (ASTM E-399-81) <br> (Orientation = T-L) 

Sample Number $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Toughness (MPa $-\mathrm{m}^{1 / 2}$ )

| B1 | 0 | 55 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B2 | 0 | 58 |
| B3 | 0 | 49 |2568

B5 ..... 25 ..... 64
B6 ..... 2571



## Bottom Line

- Steel was state-of-the-art for 1911.
- Appreciable low temperature toughness.
- "Brittle Steel Theory" is wrong.

\section*{Rivets <br> \section*{\section*{\section*{-}}



## =

}} $\square$
## 

- 
- 


-
II
(1)
$=$

$\qquad$
-
$\sqrt{2}$
$\square$
$=$
E
In

©
-
$\square$
$+\quad-2$
$\square$
-
$\square$
(
,
=

(
,
$\square$
-
-
$\square$
$\square$
$r_{1}$


## Riveting

- 3,000,000 wrought iron and steel rivets
- Hand and hydraulically driven
- Total weight $=1,550$ tons


## VTM-27-B Humberait

## Was there a problem with the riveting?

- Historical evidence from Olympic
- Eyewitness testimony
- Sonar evidence
- Metallurgical forensic evidence



## Sonar Imaging of Wreck


(from IIlustrated Times of London, April 16, 1912)


## New images of parted seams in damage area

(courtesy Discovery Communications)

- Located by counting plates
- Right where Fireman Barrett said it was

- 

II
= <br> \section*{\section*{Rivet Metallurgy <br> \section*{\section*{Rivet Metallurgy
}}
}} $=$
R

)
4
,
$=$
I
$=$


$+$
$\sqrt{8}$

$\nabla$
,
$\square$
$>$

-
5
.

$($
,
$\rightarrow$

- $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
C
- 

$\square$

$\square$ -

## 

 $=$



-

## Wrought Iron Rivets

- Commercially pure iron with $1-4 \%$ incorporated slag
- Puddled, extruded into a bar, and formed into rivets


## Mechanical Properties (avg.)

| Orient. | YS | UTS | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Long. | 27 ksi | 48 ksi | 14 |
| Trans. | 18 | 20 | 2 |

(Source: Making, Shaping and Treating of Steel, USS, 1957)


## How can a rivet fail?

- Mid-shaft failure

-Head "pops" off
- Cupping


