Thin-film free-surface flows

Jacqueline Ashmore DAMTP, University of Cambridge, UK

APS DFD meeting, November 21st 2004

Fundamentals of coating flows: interaction of viscous stresses & capillary stresses (due to curvature gradients)



low Reynolds # Newtonian coating flows characterized by capillary number:

$$C = \frac{\eta U}{\sigma}$$

viscous stress

 $\eta =$ viscosity

= characteristic velocity

 $\sigma =$ surface tension

The Landau-Levich-Derjaguin scaling

Landau & Levich (1942), Derjaguin (1943)



asymptotic matching of the surface curvature in the two regions:

$$h_{\infty} = 1.34 \left(\frac{\eta U}{\sigma}\right)^{2/3} \kappa_m^{-1}$$

provided
$$\frac{\eta U}{\sigma} \ll 1$$

(low capillary number limit)

Rimming flows: coating the inside of a rotating cylinder with Anette Hosoi (*MIT*) & Howard A Stone (*Harvard University*)



- $A = \text{filling fraction} (0 \le A \le 1)$
- $\rho = density$
- $\eta =$ viscosity
- $\sigma = surface tension$

equation for $h(\theta)$ is usual coating flow equation, but this problem has two unusual features:

- 1) solutions must be periodic
- 2) conservation of mass imposes integral BC

A rich variety of behavior ...



"sharks' teeth" with pure fluid (Thoroddsen & Mahadevan, 1997)

banding of a suspension (Tirumkudulu, Mileo & Acrivos, 2000)



Literature review (not comprehensive)

- films *outside* a rotating cylinder: Moffatt (1977)
- analyses in the *absence of surface tension*:
 e.g. O'Brien & Gath (1988), Johnson (1988), Wilson & Williams (1997)
- surface tension included in numerical studies: e.g. Hosoi & Mahadevan (1999), Tirumkudulu & Acrivos (2001)
- an unpublished study including analytical work: Benjamin et al. (analytical, numerical and experimental)

no previous detailed analytical study of surface tension effects

Focus of our study: surface tension effects

- two-dimensional (axially uniform) steady states
- consider significance of surface tension in "slow rotation" limit
- compare theoretical predictions & numerical results

surface tension is a singular perturbation

Assumptions

- lubrication approximation $A \ll 1$
- negligible inertia

 $\frac{A^2 \rho \Omega R^2}{M} \ll 1$



filling fraction A Copyright Jacqueline Ashmore, 2004

Nondimensional flux equation: determines film thickness $h(\theta)$ "higher order" surface differential constant flux, to be gravity tension equation determined boundary condition $\int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta \approx \pi$ $\kappa = \text{curvature}$

3 nondimensional parameters when inertia is neglected:

$$\lambda = \frac{A^2 \rho g R}{\eta \Omega} = \frac{\text{gravity}}{\text{viscous}} \qquad B = \frac{\rho g R^2}{A\sigma} = \frac{\text{gravity}}{\text{surface tension}}$$
$$A = \text{filling fraction} \qquad (\text{note } \lambda B^{-1} \propto C^{-1})$$



 $2 < \lambda < 5$ ("shocks"); $\lambda > 5$ (pool & thin film)





Coating the inside of a rotating cylinder: conclusions

- inclusion of surface tension facilitates an analytical description of a steady 2-D solution at very slow rotation rates
- at slow rotation rates, a thin film is pulled out of a pool sitting at the bottom of the cylinder
- thickness of the film in low capillary # limit calculated by asymptotic matching
- dimensional film thickness:

$$h_{film} = \frac{0.946}{(1 - \cos \theta^*)^{1/2}} \frac{(\eta \Omega R)^{2/3}}{\sigma^{1/6} (\rho g)^{1/2}} = 0.798 \frac{(\eta \Omega R)^{2/3}}{A^{1/3} \sigma^{1/6} (\rho g)^{1/2}}$$

With special thanks to ...

Advisor: Howard A. Stone (*Harvard*) Co-advisor: Gareth H. McKinley (*MIT*) Eric R. Dufresne (*Yale*) Michael P. Brenner (*Harvard*) Anette (Peko) Hosoi (*MIT*)